

# An Info Commercial

20 November, 1995

Hunter Snevily

University of Idaho

## O. Abstract

Not available. (See also the enclosed whitepapers *Partitioning Permutations into Increasing and Decreasing Subsequences*, *The 2-Pebbling Property and a Conjecture of Graham's*, and *On Pebbling Graphs*.)

## I. Forbidden Subsequences

Let us write permutations in *linear order*, as

$$(1534627) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 1 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 6 & 2 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

and suppose we wish to decompose a permutation into a list of  $r$  strictly increasing and  $s$  strictly decreasing (possibly empty) permutations. An important result then is that  $\forall(r,s)$ , there is a finite list of forbidden subsequences. For example,  $(1,1)$  must avoid 2143 and 3412.

To prove the above, Wang, Snevily, et. al. considered permutation graphs whose edges are given by  $(i,j)$  such that  $(\pi(i) - \pi(j))/(i - j) < 0$ . It turns out that forbidden subsequences in the permutation correspond to cliques in the graph, and permutation graphs are perfect, so  $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$  and  $\chi(H) = \omega(H) \forall$  subgraphs H of G.

## II. Pebbling and Graham's Conjecture

See the enclosed papers on this subject. Since receiving these, Matt Hudelson also got some results on the optimal sanding numbers of graphs.

## III. Pattern avoidance

(an appropriate story of how *not* to go about doing research...)

XX cannot be avoided in a binary sequence of length 4 or greater – however, it is 3-avoidable (i.e., in ternary sequences). What about XXX? Or even XXe, where e is the first letter of X? (Such words are said to be cube-free, or strongly cube-free, respectively). In fact, there exist infinitely long strongly cube-free binary words. Let  $h(0) = 01$ , and  $h(1) = 10$ . Then  $h^2(0) = h(h(0)), \dots$ , and the words defined by  $w_n = h^n(0)\overline{h^n(0)}$  (where the overbar denotes binary complement) give rise to a limiting word  $w_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n$  which is strongly cube-free (1912, Thue).

More generally, given a pattern of  $n$  distinct letters, it is avoidable iff it does not occur in Zimm's word  $Z_n$ , which is defined by  $Z_1 = 1$ ,  $Z_2 = 121$ ,  $Z_3 = 1213121$ , and in general,  $Z_n = Z_{n-1}nZ_{n-1}$ .